

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/597,769	08/07/2006	Andrew Frontin-Rollet	PIP0119PUSA	5970
20/45 7590 10/09/20/08 BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C. 1000 TOWN CENTER TWENTY-SECOND FLOOR			EXAM	IINER
			HIBBERT, CATHERINE 8	
SOUTHFIELD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1636	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/03/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/597,769	FRONTIN-ROLLET, ANDREW		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Catherine S. Hibbert	1636		

	Cxammer	Artonit			
	Catherine S. Hibbert	1636			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Estimations of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15  - If NO period for reply is a specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply with the sax or extended period for reply with the sax or extended period for perly with the sax or extended period for perly with the sax or extended period for perly with the sax or extended period and a fact the mailing aemed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 A	ugust 2006.				
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the	e merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under E			,		
•					
Disposition of Claims					
<li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 39-73 is/are pending in the application</li>	٦.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
<ol><li>Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li></ol>					
<ol> <li>Claim(s) is/are rejected.</li> </ol>					
<ol><li>Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li></ol>					
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>39-73</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable		Evaminer			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
			ED 1 121/d)		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
	ammer. Note the attached Office	ACTION OF IOTHER	10-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul><li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li><li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li></ul>	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ol>	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage		
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.			
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/08)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/597,769 Page 2

Art Unit: 1636

## DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-38 are cancelled. Claims 39-73 are pending.

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which

are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to

elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 39-54 and 70-72, drawn to a method of determining the orientation of an aspherical cell by measuring refracted non-fluorescent light provided by a phase contrast or Dark field optical system.

Group II, claim(s) 55-65, drawn to an apparatus for selecting desired cells, or parts of cells, the apparatus comprising: a means of exposing said cell sample of interest to a second different light source having a different wavelength, wherein the second light source uses phase contrast or Dark field optical system.

Group III, claim(s) 66-69, drawn to a delivery device when used in a method according to claim 39, adapted to sequentially deliver individual whole, viable sperm cells from a sample injection tube via a hydrodynamic radially orienting nozzle to a testing zone, a deceleration or pre-collection zone and a collection means.

Group IV, claim(s) 73, drawn to a viable sperm cell made by the method of Claim 70.

The inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Groups I-IV lack unity of invention because even though the inventions of these groups require the technical feature of a method of determining the orientation of an aspherical cell by measuring refracted non-fluorescent light provided by a phase contrast or Dark field optical system, this technical feature is not a special technical feature as it does not make a contribution over the prior art in view of Kay and Wheeless in "Laser Stroboscopic Photography Technique for Cell Orientation Studies in Flow" (Journal of Histochemistry and Cytochemistry, 1976, Vol. 24, pages 265-268). Kay and Wheeless

Application/Control Number: 10/597,769

Art Unit: 1636

teach a method for determining the orientation of aspherical squamous cells using a phase contrast optical system an a dark field optical system (e.g. abstract).

The products of Groups II-III and IV are distinct from each other because Group IIV is drawn to a sperm cell and Groups II-III are apparatus devices. Groups II-III are distinct from each other because Group II requires a means of exposing a cell sample to a light source which is not required of Group III and Group III requires a device adapted to deliver cells from a sample injection tube, which is not required of Group II. Likewise, the Method of Group I is distinct from the products of Groups II-III and IV because the sperm cell product of Group IV could be selected by another and distinct process, such as by random selection following serial dilution. In addition, the method of Group I does not require the apparatus and devices of Groups II-III and could be performed with distinct apparatus and devices for orienting and selecting sperm cells.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. 

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

Application/Control Number: 10/597,769

Art Unit: 1636

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Catherine S. Hibbert whose telephone number is (571)270-3053. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8AM-5PM, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Woitach, Ph.D., can be reached on 571-272-0739. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1636

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Respectfully submitted.

Catherine S. Hibbert Examiner/AU1636

/David Guzo/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1636